Dear Ms. King,

On behalf of the Soquel Creek Water District Board of Directors, thank you for your email. I apologize for your experience with District representatives.

We always appreciate customer feedback as we continually aim to improve. Thus, I will be inquiring with staff and seeking input on how we could have handled the situation better and taking any appropriate actions.

I agree with you that this is a time of high anxiety and stress; thus, the more we can do together as an organization and community to help and support each other the better off we all will be.

Again, thank you for taking time to communicate your frustrations and feelings.

If you would like to discuss further, please feel free to call my direct line at 475-8501 ext. 144.

Respectfully,

Ron Duncan | General Manager
Soquel Creek Water District | 5180 Soquel Dr., Soquel CA 95073 | www.soquelcreekwater.org
direct 831-475-8501 x144 | main 831-475-8500

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

This e-mail message, including any attachments, may be confidential and exempt from disclosure, may contain work product and/or confidential communications, and may be subject to other privileges and restrictions as well. IF YOU ARE NOT AN INTENDED RECIPIENT, PLEASE REPLY BY E-MAIL THAT YOU RECEIVED THIS MESSAGE IN ERROR, DELETE THIS MESSAGE FROM YOUR SYSTEM, AND DELETE, PURGE, AND/OR DESTROY ALL COPIES.

From: Katy King <katyyap@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2020 11:58 AM
To: bod <bod@soquelcreekwater.org>
Cc: rcarbajal@montereybayfloors.com
Subject: out of line

Dear Board,

In the process of replacing an existing 6 foot fence and wall of ivy I noticed that there appeared to be a water leak. My contractor said he thought it was coming from your side of the meter. I am now wishing that I had NEVER called to report the issue.
You worker was rude and then threatened to turn me in to the county for fence replacement I had done previously. That did NOT and Does NOT NEED A PERMIT. I have already been through The building department and they made that determination. The head of the department came and looked at it, As I fully explained to your worker. He made the call anyway and now I have to disrupt my life and the building department for the 3rd time!!!! Your guy reported me and they came out AGAIN, and some person who has not bothered to read the code has given me a stop work order.

The access to my meter will remain unchanged. Every meter on Mar Monte is on the inside of the ditch. And often behind a fence. At this point I never want any of your people on my property again for fear of what sort of hostility I will get from them.

I feel that I started out being friendly, I walked out and said hello, asked what he thought, made a joke about needing a shovel and should I help...he was hostile, angry and has now just caused a lot of upset for nothing! In this time of high anxiety and stress to have YOUR workers representing Soquel Creek Water Company, creating an added irritation is uncalled for, it is NOT his job to report fence repairs to the county, ESPECIALLY when they are being done within all the guidelines of the building codes! I wish I knew where every one of you lived so I could send someone out to assess whether or not YOU need a building permit! And then perhaps you would have an understanding of the aggravation I am feeling. We as homeowners trust that the people who you send on our property are not going to be hostile, angry nasty vindictive jerks!

I wish this was a letter where I could praise the response to the leak, instead I am hoping that in the future you will compel the workers who represent Soquel Creek Water Company to be if not nice, at least not outright hostile. Now I am going to be suspicious and ill at ease every time one of your workers shows up.

Sincerely,

Katy King Carbajal
42 Mar Monte Ave.
LSB
Dear Soquel Creek Water District Board,

I am writing to ask that your Board place on a future agenda the issue of Soquel Creek Water District Board elections changing from at-large to district-based elections. This would allow better representation on your Board by the constituent base represented and would comply with the California Voting Rights Act.


Currently, your Board has no representation from the La Selva Beach or Seacliff areas of the District. These areas contain a fairly high percentage of low-income and disadvantaged members of the population.

Please place this issue on the agenda for public discussion soon. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Becky Steinbruner
Dear Ms. Olin and Board,

Thank you for these responsive materials. I note there is no date on Mr. Vasquez's Asbestos Worker Recertification. I also find it interesting that the medical Examiner's Certification is issued by a doctor in Carmichael, but Mr. Vasquez seemingly works for Coastwide Environmental Technologies in Watsonville.

Regarding the notices sent to neighbors of the 2505 Chanticleer Avenue property, while the District's letter describes destruction of a septic system and well, along with demolition of the structure, there is no mention that explosives were going to be detonated to decommission the well. Neighbors in the area reported significant noise and shaking similar to an earthquake when the detonation occurred.

Did PG&E examine nearby natural gas supply lines afterward to determine if there were any problems resulting from this intentional explosion? Did the District examine water lines for the same reason?

Please respond. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Becky Steinbruner

On Wednesday, October 21, 2020, 04:30:43 PM PDT, Emma Olin <emmao@soquelcreekwater.org> wrote:

Ms. Steinbruner,

This is a request for additional information beyond the scope of your active public records request (re: Public Records Act Request re: Lam House Demolition at 2505 Chanticleer Avenue, dated 10-02-20). As such, your request below will be treated as its own public records request.

Please see attached response to your public records request dated 10-13-20.

As you know, it is anticipated that the documents responsive to your request dated 10-02-20 will be provided on or before Monday, October 26, 2020.

Sincerely,
From: Becky Steinbruner <ki6tlkb@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 13, 2020 2:55 AM
To: Emma Olin <emmao@soquelcreekwater.org>
Cc: Board of Directors <bodgroup@soquelcreekwater.org>
Subject: Re: Demolition of Structure at 2505 Chanticleer Avenue (Lam Property) for Proposed PureWater Soquel Project Facility

Dear Ms. Olin,

Thank you for this statement. Please include a copy of the June 18, 2020 notification provided to all adjacent property owners. I noted earlier that the contractor awarded the project bid had no certification for hazardous materials. Please provide the full information of the demolition and hazardous materials contractor in the responsive Public Records Act request materials.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Becky Steinbruner

On Monday, October 12, 2020, 03:47:40 PM PDT, Emma Olin <emmao@soquelcreekwater.org> wrote:

Ms. Steinbruner,

On behalf of Soquel Creek Water District’s General Manager Ron Duncan, please see the District’s response below:

EIR Section 4.9, Hazards and Hazardous Materials, identifies the potential for the existing structures at the Chanticleer site to include asbestos-containing materials and lead-based paint, and discusses the mandatory requirements for handling such materials. Based on an evaluation of building materials conducted in 2019, it was determined that the structures likely included asbestos containing materials and lead-based paint. Thus, as required, all demolition work was conducted by a State-certified asbestos-containing material and lead-based paint professional who undertook removal activities following approved and required methods. Wastes from abatement and demolition activities were disposed of at a landfill permitted to accept such waste.

Advanced notice was provided to adjacent properties/property owners on June 18, 2020, and included information about both the well and building demolition activities.
Sincerely,

Emma Olin | Executive Assistant/Board Clerk
Soquel Creek Water District | 5180 Soquel Dr., Soquel CA 95073 | www.soquelcreekwater.org
direct 831-475-8501 x126 | main 831-475-8500

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

From: Becky Steinbruner <ki6tkb@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, October 2, 2020 9:57 AM
To: bod <bod@soquelcreekwater.org>; Emma Olin <emmao@soquelcreekwater.org>
Cc: Becky Steinbruner <ki6tkb@yahoo.com>
Subject: Demolition of Structure at 2505 Chanticleer Avenue (Lam Property) for Proposed PureWater
Soquel Project Facility

Dear Board,
I noted the recent demolition of the structure along the Soquel Avenue frontage road (actual address
2505 Chanticleer Avenue) across from the County Sheriff Center. That structure has for years been
visible to the public, and a nuisance for vandalism and potential fire hazard.

Thank you for removing it. However, because of the structure’s age, I would assume that asbestos
removal was an issue. Can you please tell me how the demolition contractor handled that problem, and
what precautions were taken? What noticing did the contractor provide for the businesses in the
immediate area?

Likewise, I am aware that a contractor recently detonated explosives on this same parcel to
decommission the agricultural well on site. What noticing did you provide for local businesses and
residents for that event?

Please respond in writing. Thank you.
Sincerely,
Becky Steinbruner
Unpublished Letter to Editor, Santa Cruz Sentinel and Monterey Herald re: Pure Water Monterey and Soquel Water Supply Alternative by Monterey Bay Conservancy/Douglas Deitch

"SqCWD's "Pure Water Soquel" and "Pure Water Monterey" recycling projects both plan and depend on injecting, mixing, and "recycling" so called "cleaned" sewage and other waste water into our aquifer(s) to recharge them, to raise their level above sea level to address/prevent/remediate sea water intrusion, and to continue to pump and to use this water. For your information, our California Coastal Commission has just projected and uses an estimate of 3 feet of SLR in the next 30 years or 1.2 inches SLR per year, a shocking but accurate projection.

There is another process that allows for direct/non injected recycling and use of this "cleaned" sewage and waste water called "DPR"-Direct Potable Reuse. DPR allows for direct non injected use of this water but is not currently allowed ONLY BECAUSE our DWR, SWRCB, SqCWD, etc. have been grossly negligent, as in so many other matters, in not developing the laws, standards, and necessary regulations to safely allow this direct use of this "cleaned", "pure", and "safe" water they now want to inject into our already safe, pure, and clean local ground water commons.

DPR avoids many risks of injection, such as, for example, the possible permanent contamination of our aquifers with injected COVID19 ( see https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2020/04/200403132347.htm ), micro plastics (see https://www.mercurynews.com/2015/05/17/plastic-pollution-california-lawmakers-to-vote-on-banning-microbeads-from-personal-care-products/ ) Arsenic/residual medicines (see Doug testifying @ CCC @ 12:12 @CCC @ https://cal-span.org/unipage/?site=cal-span&owner=CCC&date=2020-03-12&mode=large&fbclid=IwAR340BXpXZ79-h1HZm_Uy4aB68COmrn7nuFyRZrPSAG6nh5cIvGbGCNgcdv ).
https://www.latimes.com/science/sciencenow/la-sci-sn-arsenic-water-20150904-story.html, etc., as well as the massive and, as we see, escalating costs in the hundreds of millions of dollars for the necessary injection plant facilities.

My 25 year old but ignored DPR/Direct Potable Reuse Project/Alternative, the 21000 acre Monterey Bay Estuarine National Monument, INCLUDING all the 31,000 acre feet of recycled water per year potentially produced by the currently online but grossly underutilized Castroville Reclamation Plant repurposed from exclusively ag use to urban use, will provide ALL the urban water needed in the entire Monterey Bay Region for both the counties of Santa Cruz and Monterey present and future.

My alternative project will completely and permanently cease all coastal aquifers' pumping for urban uses in perpetuity, massively and in perpetuity yearly both conserve AND effectively recharge our coastal aquifers with minimaly 63,000 acre feet of water, and require no dollars, not hundreds of millions, for either recharge facilities or electrical charges or any "Coastal Permits" either.

All will be paid for by "OPM", other people's money ..., in this case $2.1 billion of reallocated now surplus rail bond money to pay for, buy, and fallow, @ $100,000 per acre, the 21000 acres of currently irrigated coastal Santa Cruz and Monterey farmlands which will be converted back to the wetlands and estuarine coastside resources and habitats these 21000 once were, run down for you Folks @ www.dougdeitch.info and www.dougdeitch.com, facebook pages, for you information.

Respectfully submitted,

Douglas Deitch

ED/Monterey Bay Conservancy-a Monterey Bay and California Water Policy Thinktank

545 Hudson Lane, Aptos, Ca.

831.476.7662

APTOS, CALIFORNIA